

**DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE REVISED MASSACHUSETTS PAP AND THE
NEW YORK COMPLIANCE FILINGS MODIFYING THE PAP**

REFERENCE IN MA RED LINED DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION
Page 6 – Self executing aspects	Modified the tense of the language to reflect the fact that the Massachusetts PAP is already in effect and established a placeholder for the effective date of the revised PAP.
Page 8 – Accurate Reporting of Data	Eliminated the language regarding the start date of the first audit due to the fact that the audit has been completed.
Page 18 – footnote 14	Deleted the footnote since the definition of % Flow Through Achieved has been established.
Page 20 – Additional Hot Cut Performance	Corrected the definition of PR-9-01 which mistakenly included “Missed Appointments”
Page 23 – Footnote 20	Modified the New York Compliance footnote to indicate that the algorithms would also be provided to the Department.
Page 26 – Term of Performance Assurance Plan	Created a placeholder for the effective date of the PAP.
Page 29 – Annual Review and Updates	Eliminated the language regarding the initiation of the initial annual review as the initial annual review has been completed.
Page 30 – Changes to the New York Plan	Added language to clarify the fact that Verizon Massachusetts would file New York PAP changes 10 days after the New York Compliance Filing .
Appendix D – Item B, Sample Size Requirements and Item c.)	Changed the reference to the Carrier Working Group to the Department in order to give Massachusetts CLECs an avenue to discuss sample size issues.